			U.S. Patent and Trademar	k Office: U.S. DEPAF	RTMENT OF COMMERC
	Applicar	t Initiated Int	erview Request l	Form	
Application No.: 10 Examiner: G. Gauth		Named Applicant Art Unit: 2614		olication: Pend	ding
Tentative Participa (1) Richard Lyon, R		(2) Examiner (G. Gauthier	_	
(3)		(4)			
Proposed Date of I	nterview: 5/5/08	Propos	sed Time: 2:00PM (EDT)	(AM/PM)	
Type of Interview I (1) [X] Telephonic	(2) [] Perso		Video Conference		
Exhibit To Be Show If yes, provide brie		ated: [] YES			-
		Issues To B	e Discussed		
Issues (Rej., Obj., etc)	Claims/ Fig. #s	Prior Art	Discussed	Agreed	Not Agreed
(1) Rejection (102)	1-41	Nelson	_ []	[]	[]
(2)			[]	[]	[]
(3)			[]	[]	[]
(4)			[]	[]	[]
[] Continuation Sh	neet Attached				
Brief Description of	of Arguments to	be Presented:			
(See Attached Ag	jenda)				
An interview was o	conducted on the	e above-identified	application on		 •
§ 713.01). This application will	not be delayed fr	om issue because of	ed to the examiner in ad applicant's failure to s ent of the substance of t	ubmit a written	record of this
			(D. J. 100E -:		
(Applicant/Applicant)	nt's Representati	ve Signature)	(Examiner/SPE Signature)		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.133. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USFFO to precess) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 30 CSC. 12 and 32 FFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to take 21 income to complete the property of the collection of the collection is estimated to the 21 income to complete the collection of the

AGENDA FOR EXAMINER INTERVIEW FOR S/N 10/700.803

The 102 Rejection of Claims 1-41 over Nelson.

I propose amending Claim 1 to recite, "displaying a menu listing a plurality of potential responses on the display of the communication device, said responses being employed by the user to communicate with the remote party, and displaying at least one additional menu on the display of the communication device upon selection of a response from a currently displayed menu, which together with the original menu form a hierarchy of menus used to construct a complete statement when selected responses from each menu displayed are played back in the sequence they were selected; and upon selection of one-of-the two or more responses used to construct said complete statement by the user employing the user interface of the communication device, accessing a pre-recorded voice snippets corresponding to the selected responses, and transmitting a playback of the accessed voice snippets to the remote party over the communication link*. The added language is for the most part from Claim 2, which would be cancelled. Similar language would also be included in independent Claims 29 and 38. Claim 41 would be cancelled.

Nelson does not teach the foregoing statement construction feature. Granted, the Examiner contends in the Office Action that Nelson teaches this feature at Col. 7, lines 30-47 (in the context of Claim 2). This portion of the Nelson reference reads.

"A user views a conversation representation as illustrated by block 35 in FIG. 3, and makes selections about utterances which are to be voiced over the telephone. In an embodiment, conversation representation 31 may be icons having text labels as illustrated in FIG. 7. A Conversation Element 33a associated with conversation representation 31 is stored in an utterance data store 33, that when selected are retrieved and submitted to an audio generator 34 to produce the output signals needed for the telephone connection. An audio-to-phone connector 35 provides this electrical connection. A telephone-to-user connector 30 allows the user to hear both the conversation generated by the system and other users. In an embodiment, a telephone-to-user connector is an earpiece. A switchable (switch 37) audio input 36 allows a user to voice directly into a telephone when appropriate. A stored data extractor 32 converts data stored in other formats (e.g., PC calendar entries, address books) into a format suitable for audio generation". (emphasis added)

Clearly, Nelson is teaching a scheme where audio is generated and transmitted for each selection made by a user. This is not the claimed statement construction feature that has the advantage of allowing a user to build statements using a set of hierarchical menus. When such a statement is built, only then are the multiple selected responses (two or more) forming the statement accessed and transmitted as audio.

As such, it is believed the proposed changes to the claims overcome the cited rejections.